Court File No.: CV-20-00637081-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

TRUIST BANK, AS AGENT

Applicant

- and -

KEW MEDIA GROUP INC., KEW MEDIA INTERNATIONAL (CANADA) INC.

Respondents

APPLICATION UNDER SUBSECTION 243(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43 AS AMENDED

FACTUM OF ALEX KAN AND STUART RATH (Lift Stay and Carriage) (Motion Returnable: July 14, 2020)

July 13, 2020

Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP

3200-100 Wellington St W Toronto, ON M5K 1K7

Paul D. Guy (LSO# 49794K)

pguy@tgf.ca Tel: (416) 304-0538 Fax: (416) 304-1313

Kalloghlian Myers LLP

200-250 University Avenue Toronto, ON M5H 3E5

Serge Kalloghlian (LSO# 55557F)

serge@kalloghlianmyers.com Tel: (647) 812-5615

Garth Myers (LSO# 62307G)

garth@kalloghlianmyers.com Tel: (647) 969-4472 Fax: (647) 243-6620

Foreman & Company 4 Covent Market Place London, ON N6A 1E2

Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H) jforeman@foremancompany.com

Sarah A. Bowden (LSO# 56835D) sbowden@foremancompany.com

Anne E. Legate-Wolfe (LSO# 76832J) alegatewolfe@foremancompany.com

Tel: (519) 914-1175 Fax: (226) 884-5340

Lawyers for Alex Kan and Stuart Rath

TO: THE SERVICE LIST

SERVICE LIST

(as at July 8, 2020)

TO: DENTONS CANADA LLP 77 King Street West, Suite 400 Toronto-Dominion Centre Toronto, ON M5K 0A1

Kenneth Kraft

Tel: (416) 863-4374 Fax: (416) 863-4592 kenneth.kraft@dentons.com

Robert Kennedy

Tel: (416) 367-6756 robert.kennedy@dentons.com

Mark A. Freake

Tel: (416) 863-4456 mark.freake@dentons.com

Lawyers for the Applicant, Truist Bank, as Agent

AND TO: GOODMANS LLP

Bay Adelaide Centre - West Tower 333 Bay Street, Suite 3400 Toronto, ON M5H 2S7

Robert Chadwick

Tel: (416) 597-4285 Fax: (416) 979-1234 rchadwick@goodmans.ca

Joseph Pasquariello

Tel: (416) 597-4216 jpasquariello@goodmans.ca

Bradley Wiffin Tel: (416) 597-4208 bwiffen@goodmans.ca

Jason Wadden Tel: (416) 597-5165 jwadden@goodmans.ca

Andrew Harmes aharmes@goodmans.ca

David Conklin dconklin@goodmans.ca

Lawyers for the Respondents, Kew Media Group Inc. and Kew Media International

(Canada) Inc.

AND TO: FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.

TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West, Suite 2010 Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

Nigel Meakin

Tel: (416) 649-8065 Fax: (416) 649-8101 nigel.meakin@fticonsulting.com

Toni Vanderlaan

Tel: (416) 649-8075 toni.vanderlaan@fticonsulting.com

Jim Robinson

Jim.Robinson@fticonsulting.com

Court appointed Receiver of Kew Media Group Inc. and Kew Media International (Canada) Inc.

AND TO: TORYS LLP

TD South Tower 79 Wellington Street West, 33rd Floor Toronto, ON M5K 1N2

Tony DeMarinis

Tel: (416) 865-8162 Fax: (416) 865-7380 tdemarinis@torys.com

David Bish

Tel: (416) 865-7353 dbish@torys.com

Lawyers for FTI Consulting Canada Inc. in its capacity as Court appointed Receiver of Kew Media Group Inc. and Kew Media International (Canada) Inc.

AND TO: MILLER THOMSON LLP

Scotia Plaza 40 King Street West, Suite 5800 Toronto, ON M5H 3S1

Jeffrey C. Carhart

Tel: (416) 595-8615 Fax: (416) 595-8695 jcarhart@millerthomson.com

Jonathan Dyck

Tel: (416) 595-7944 jdyck@millerthomson.com

Lawyers for Bank of Montreal, in its capacity as lender to certain production subsidiaries of Kew Media Group Inc.

AND TO: BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

199 Bay Street, Suite 4000 Commerce Court West Toronto, ON M5L 1A9

Chris Burr

•

.

Tel: (416) 863-3261 Fax: (416) 863-2653 <u>chris.burr@blakes.com</u> *Lawyers for Argonon Ltd.*

EMAIL LIST

kenneth.kraft@dentons.com; robert.kennedy@dentons.com; mark.freake@dentons.com; rchadwick@goodmans.ca; jpasquariello@goodmans.ca; aharmes@goodmans.ca; bwiffen@goodmans.ca; jwadden@goodmans.ca; nigel.meakin@fticonsulting.com; toni.vanderlaan@fticonsulting.com; Jim.Robinson@fticonsulting.com; tdemarinis@torys.com; dbish@torys.com; jcarhart@millerthomson.com; jdyck@millerthomson.com; chris.burr@blakes.com; dconklin@goodmans.ca

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – NATURE OF THE MOTION	1
PART II – OVERVIEW	2
PART III – FACTS	3
The Events Leading to Kew Media's Receivership	3
Two Groups of Counsel Emerge to Commence Securities Class Proceedings	4
The Issue of Carriage is Resolved	4
PART IV – ARGUMENT	5
This Court Should Lift the Stay for the Limited Purposes Sought	5
Carriage Should be Awarded to the Consortium	7
PART V – ORDER SOUGHT	9
Schedule "A" - List of Authorities Schedule "B" - Relevant Statutes	

Court File No.: CV-20-00637081-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

TRUIST BANK, AS AGENT

Applicant

- and -

KEW MEDIA GROUP INC., KEW MEDIA INTERNATIONAL (CANADA) INC.

Respondents

APPLICATION UNDER SUBSECTION 243(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43 AS AMENDED

FACTUM (Motion Returnable: July 14, 2020)

PART I – NATURE OF THE MOTION

1. This is a motion brought by Alex Kan and Stuart Rath, who are proposed representative

plaintiffs (the "Plaintiffs") in a putative securities class action against Kew Media Inc. ("Kew

Media" or the "Company") and certain of its former directors and officers (the "Action").

- 2. The motion seeks three forms of relief:
 - (a) <u>First</u>, the Plaintiffs seek an order lifting the stay of proceedings against Kew Media for the limited purpose of allowing the Plaintiffs to take specific steps to protect the claims of class members, namely:
 - (i) to issue, file and serve the Statement of Claim;
 - (ii) to file with the court a motion for certification under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992* (the "**CPA**") and for leave under Part XXIII.1 of the *Securities Act*;

- (iii) to serve, file and have heard any motion(s) related to the service of the Statement of Claim or the Motion Record for certification and leave; and
- (iv) to serve, file and have heard any motions related to the court approval of a third-party adverse costs indemnity and disbursement funding agreement.
- (b) <u>Second</u>, following the resolution of carriage between two competing groups, the Plaintiffs seek an order granting carriage of the Action to a consortium of firms that have agreed to prosecute the case together, namely, Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP, Kalloghlian Myers LLP and Foreman & Company (the "**Consortium**").
- (c) <u>Third</u>, the Plaintiffs seek an order requiring disclosure of insurance policies that may be responsive to the claims made in the Action. The Plaintiffs, the Receiver and counsel for the first layer of D&O coverage have agreed to adjourn this aspect of the motion to July 21, subject to the Court's availability.

3. The draft order has been negotiated and agreed to by the Consortium and the Receiver and provided to the Service List. There is no known opposition.

PART II – OVERVIEW

4. First, a limited lift stay order should be granted allowing the Statement of Claim to be issued and certain immediate procedural steps to be taken because without a lift stay order, the Plaintiffs and all putative class members face the imminent expiry of the relevant limitation period. Furthermore, there is no prejudice arising to the Receiver or any other stakeholder arising from the lift stay.

5. Second, the issue of carriage as between the Plaintiffs in overlapping class proceedings has now been resolved by agreement between the Plaintiffs and their counsel. Accordingly, an order should be made assigning carriage to the Consortium in order to prosecute the Action.

PART III – FACTS

A. The Events Leading to Kew Media's Receivership

6. Kew Media was a media production and distribution company with a library boasting some of the biggest TV shows in the world. The Company's shares traded on the TSX.

7. In December 2019, Kew Media made the first of a series of disclosures that destroyed the Company and rendered its shares worthless.

8. The first disclosure was that the Company's CEO had provided inaccurate information to the Company and its senior lenders in relation to Kew Media's working capital. The CFO's departure was announced, as was the formation of a special committee of the Board to investigate.

9. Shortly thereafter, the Company's senior lenders issued a notice of default under the Company's main credit facility and its auditors withdrew their audit reports. The Company's shares were permanently cease traded by the OSC in January 2020.

10. On February 28, 2020, this Court granted an order (the "**Receivership Order**") appointing FTI Consulting Canada Inc. as receiver of all of the Company's undertaking, property and assets and certain related entities (the "**Receiver**").¹

¹ Order of Justice Koehnen, dated February 28, 2020, Exhibit "A" to the Affidavit of Garth Myers, sworn July 7, 2020, Motion Record, Tab A.

B. Two Groups of Counsel Emerge to Commence Securities Class Proceedings

11. The firms in the Consortium were retained by the Plaintiffs starting in April 2020 to bring a class action on behalf of shareholders whose investments were wiped out by Kew Media's failure to adhere to proper financial reporting as required under the *Securities Act*.

12. All of the firms in the Consortium started to investigate and work up the case. Originally, Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP and Kalloghlian Myers LLP were working together and unaware that Foreman & Company was also investigating and developing an action on behalf of shareholders.

13. Both groups conducted substantial work, including consultation with experts, and communicated with the Receiver in connection with obtaining a lift stay order permitting a case to be commenced.

C. The Issue of Carriage is Resolved

14. When the two groups became aware of each other, there were initial discussions between them over the issue of carriage. A timetable for a contested carriage motion was discussed, which among other things, would have delayed prosecution of the case for at least several months.

15. The issue of carriage was ultimately resolved when the two groups agreed to work and prosecute the case together.

16. The Consortium and the Receiver have had numerous discussions around the need for the relief sought on the within motion and have agreed on a form of order.

PART IV – ARGUMENT

This Court Should Lift the Stay for the Limited Purposes Sought

17. The Receivership Order (at para. 9) contains a stay of all proceedings against Kew Media and prohibits any new action from being commenced against the Company without the consent of the Receiver or an order of this Court.

18. The Consortium requested the Receiver's consent, but the Receiver advised that an order from this Court on notice to the Service List would be required given the interests at stake.

19. In the receivership context, the test to be applied in relation to a lift stay request requires a consideration of the totality of the circumstances, including the interests of the party seeking to lift the stay relative to the interests of the remaining creditors.² This analysis should be guided by the principles applied on a lift stay request under section 69.4 of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, which provides that a lift stay can be granted if the court is satisfied that the person seeking the lift stay is likely to be materially prejudiced by the continued operation of the stay, or that it is equitable on other grounds.³

20. Here, the Plaintiffs and all putative class members would suffer irreparable harm without a lift stay order because of an impending limitation date.

² Romspen Investment Corporation v. Courtice Auto Wreckers, 2017 ONCA 301.

³ Ibid.

21. The proposed Action is brought under Part XXIII.1 of the Securities Act, which includes a three-year limitation period that is calculated from the date on which the document containing the impugned misrepresentation was first released to the public.⁴

22. The first such impugned document in this case was released on March 28, 2017, meaning the limitation period would normally have expired on March 28, 2020. However, because of the Covid-19 pandemic, the limitation period was suspended retroactive to March 16, 2020. This suspension is scheduled to conclude on September 11, 2020.

23. Further, any claim brought under Part XXIII.1 requires the court's leave to proceed under section 138.8. Section 138.14(2) provides that the limitation period is suspended on the date the notice of motion for leave under section 138.8 is filed with the Court. As a result, the Plaintiffs must file a notice of motion for leave under section 138.8 by mid-September to preserve the claims of all putative class members.

24. The lift stay order sought also permits the Plaintiffs to bring any required motion in relation to service of the Statement of Claim and the Motion Record for leave and certification (some of the individual defendants reside in the United Kingdom), as well as a motion for court approval of a litigation funding agreement with a third party funder. Each of these steps may be necessary in the short term to permit the Plaintiffs to protect and advance the interests of the putative class members.

25. The totality of the circumstances favours granting the limited lift stay order sought. Allowing the Action to be commenced, and a motion for leave to be filed, is consistent with the

⁴ Securities Act, section 138.14(1)(a).

access to justice, behaviour modification and deterrence objectives of the CPA, while entailing no prejudice to the Receiver or other stakeholders.

Carriage Should be Awarded to the Consortium

26. Determining carriage is often the first step in any class proceeding. In this case, it initially appeared as though a contested carriage motion would be required. Carriage motion materials were delivered to the Receiver and a timetable for a contested carriage motion was discussed by the plaintiff firms. However, the need for a contested carriage motion was resolved when the Plaintiffs and their counsel agreed to form the Consortium and prosecute the case together.

27. Upon rendering a decision on a carriage motion, the court typically issues an order: (a) granting carriage to the successful firm(s); and (b) stating that no other class proceeding may be commenced that has overlapping subject-matter without leave of the court.

28. This relief is sought by the Consortium here. This form of relief has been granted in other cases where the issue of carriage was resolved through the formation of a consortium of the firms originally contesting carriage.⁵

29. The formation of the Consortium in respect of the claim against Kew Media was the result of discussions between the respective firms, and included an agreement that a carriage order of the type sought on this motion was required and in the best interests of the Plaintiffs, putative class counsel, the class members, and the defendants.

⁵ See, for example, *Heyder v. Canada (Attorney General)* (Federal Court File No. T-2111-16), Exhibit "A" to the Affidavit of Garth Myers, sworn July 10, 2020, Supplemental Motion Record, Tab A.

30. On a carriage motion the court determines which counsel would best serve the interests of the class through the lens of the three goals of the CPA: access to justice, behavior modification, and judicial economy.⁶ Here, granting the order sought furthers these goals for the following reasons.

31. First, the interests of access to justice favour a carriage order. The Plaintiffs and class counsel will shortly begin preparation of their materials for the motions for certification under the CPA and leave to proceed under Part XXIII.1 of the *Securities Act*. The Plaintiffs will deliver a substantial evidentiary record, including reports from multiple experts. The Plaintiffs are also working on securing a third-party adverse costs indemnity and disbursement funding agreement to allow the Action to proceed. These steps are necessary now in order to advance the interests of the proposed class. If they are not completed quickly on behalf of shareholders, there are limitations risks that could come to bear against the interests of the proposed class.

32. Class proceedings are inherently risky undertakings for class counsel who, as in this case, typically work on a contingency fee basis. The lack of a carriage order here, particularly where the issue of carriage has already been resolved on consent, will substantially increase this risk by requiring class counsel to expend time and money preparing materials without any certainty as to which counsel group even has carriage of the Action. An order granting carriage will permit the Plaintiffs and the Consortium to take urgent and necessary steps and to incur costs in the Action with the certainty of an order appointing them as counsel in the Action.

⁶ Mancinelli v. Barrick Gold Corporation, 2016 ONCA 571 at para 22.

33. Second, a carriage order will reduce the potential for wasted judicial resources and additional costs incurred by the Receiver and the other parties and is in the interest of judicial economy. A carriage order creates a clearly defined role for counsel in the Action and within the receivership. That clearly defined role avoids uncertainty, additional costs and inevitable delay in the conduct of the Action and within the receivership.

34. In short, awarding carriage to the Consortium will allow for a formal mandate to be given to class counsel at the outset of the proceeding, all on notice to the Receiver and all stakeholders.

PART V – ORDER SOUGHT

- 35. The Plaintiffs request an order, in the form of the draft order submitted, that would:
 - lift the current stay of proceedings as against Kew Media for the limited purpose of allowing the Plaintiffs to:
 - (i) issue, file and serve the Statement of Claim;
 - (ii) file a motion for certification under the CPA, and for leave under Part XXIII.1 of the *Securities Act*;
 - (iii) serve, file and have heard any motion(s) related to the service of the Statement of Claim or the Motion Record for certification and leave; and
 - (iv) serve, file and have heard any motions related to court approval of a third-party adverse costs indemnity and disbursement funding agreement; and
 - (2) appoint Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP, Kalloghlian Myers LLP and Foreman & Company as counsel to prosecute the Action and declare that no other proceeding may be commenced in Ontario on behalf of Kew Media shareholders in respect of the subject matter of the Action without leave of the court.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 13th day of July, 2020

Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP Paul D. Guy (LSO# 49794K) pguy@tgf.ca Tel: (416) 304-0538

Kalloghlian Myers LLP Serge Kalloghlian (LSO# 55557F) serge@kalloghlianmyers.com Garth Myers (LSO# 62307G) garth@kalloghlianmyers.com

Foreman & Company Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H) jforeman@foremancompany.com Sarah A. Bowden (LSO# 56835D) sbowden@foremancompany.com Anne E. Legate-Wolfe (LSO# 76832J) alegatewolfe@foremancompany.com

Lawyers for Alex Kan and Stuart Rath

Schedule "A"

List of Authorities

1.	Romspen Investment Corporation v. Courtice Auto Wreckers, 2017 ONCA 301
2.	Heyder v. Canada (Attorney General) (Federal Court File No. T-2111-16)
3.	Mancinelli v. Barrick Gold Corporation, 2016 ONCA 571

Schedule "B"

Relevant Statutes

Securities Act

R.S.O. 1990, CHAPTER S.5

Consolidation Period: From December 10, 2019 to the <u>e-Laws currency date</u>.

Last amendment: 2019, c. 15, Sched. 34.

Legislative History: [+]

CONTENTS [-]

	INTERPRETATION
1.	Interpretation, other general matters
1. <u>1.1</u>	Purposes of Act
<u>1.1</u>	•
	<u>PART I</u> <u>THE COMMISSION</u>
<u>2.1</u>	Principles to consider
2.2	Authority in extraordinary circumstances
3.	Commission continued
<u>3.1</u>	Board of directors
3.2	Powers of the Commission
3.3	Borrowing power
<u>3.4</u>	Fees
<u>3.5</u>	Powers re hearings
<u>3.6</u>	Commission staff
<u>3.7</u>	Memorandum of understanding
<u>3.8</u>	Minister's request for information
<u>3.9</u>	Fiscal year
<u>3.10</u>	Annual report
<u>3.10.1</u>	Tabling of annual report
<u>3.10.2</u>	Review of annual report by standing or select committee
<u>3.11</u>	Collection of personal information
<u>3.12</u>	Non-application of certain Acts

(5) Every registered dealer from whom the purchase was made shall reimburse the purchaser who has exercised the right of rescission in accordance with this section for the amount of sales charges and fees relevant to the investment of the purchaser in the mutual fund in respect of the shares or units of which the notice of exercise of the right of rescission was given. R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5, s. 137 (5).

Limitation periods

138 Unless otherwise provided in this Act, no action shall be commenced to enforce a right created by this Part more than,

(a) in the case of an action for rescission, 180 days after the date of the transaction that gave rise to the cause of action; or

(b) in the case of any action, other than an action for rescission, the earlier of,

(i) 180 days after the plaintiff first had knowledge of the facts giving rise to the cause of action, or

(ii) three years after the date of the transaction that gave rise to the cause of action. R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5, s. 138.

PART XXIII.1 CIVIL LIABILITY FOR SECONDARY MARKET DISCLOSURE

INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION

Definitions

138.1 In this Part,

"compensation" means compensation received during the 12-month period immediately preceding the day on which the misrepresentation was made or on which the failure to make timely disclosure first occurred, together with the fair market value of all deferred compensation including, without limitation, options, pension benefits and stock appreciation rights, granted during the same period, valued as of the date that such compensation is awarded; ("rémunération")

"core document" means,

- (a) a prospectus, a take-over bid circular, an issuer bid circular, a directors' circular, a notice of change or variation in respect of a take-over bid circular, issuer bid circular or directors' circular, a rights offering circular, management's discussion and analysis, an annual information form, an information circular, annual financial statements and an interim financial report of the responsible issuer, where used in relation to,
 - (i) a director of a responsible issuer who is not also an officer of the responsible issuer,
 - (ii) an influential person, other than an officer of the responsible issuer or an investment fund manager where the responsible issuer is an investment fund, or
 - (iii) a director or officer of an influential person who is not also an officer of the responsible issuer, other than an officer of an investment fund manager,

(b) a prospectus, a take-over bid circular, an issuer bid circular, a directors' circular, a notice of change or variation in respect of a take-over bid circular, issuer bid circular or directors' circular, a rights offering circular, management's

discussion and analysis, an annual information form, an information circular, annual financial statements, an interim financial report and a material change report required by subsection 75 (2) or the regulations of the responsible issuer, where used in relation to,

(i) a responsible issuer or an officer of the responsible issuer,

- (ii) an investment fund manager, where the responsible issuer is an investment fund, or
- (iii) an officer of an investment fund manager, where the responsible issuer is an investment fund, or

(c) such other documents as may be prescribed by regulation for the purposes of this definition; ("document

essentiel") "document" means any written communication, including a communication prepared and transmitted only in electronic form,

(a) that is required to be filed with the Commission, or

- (b) that is not required to be filed with the Commission and,
 - (i) that is filed with the Commission,
 - (ii) that is filed or required to be filed with a government or an agency of a government under applicable securities or corporate law or with any exchange or quotation and trade reporting system under its bylaws, rules or regulations, or
 - (iii) that is any other communication the content of which would reasonably be expected to affect the market price or value of a security of the responsible issuer; ("document")

"expert" means a person or company whose profession gives authority to a statement made in a professional capacity by the person or company, including, without limitation, an accountant, actuary, appraiser, auditor, engineer, financial analyst, geologist or lawyer, but not including a designated credit rating organization; ("expert")

"failure to make timely disclosure" means a failure to disclose a material change in the manner and at the time required under this Act or the regulations; ("non-respect des obligations d'information occasionnelle")

"influential person" means, in respect of a responsible issuer,

- (a) a control person,
- (b) a promoter,
- (c) an insider who is not a director or officer of the responsible issuer, or
- (d) an investment fund manager, if the responsible issuer is an investment fund;

("personne influente") "issuer's security" means a security of a responsible issuer and

includes a security,

(a) the market price or value of which, or payment obligations under which, are derived from or based on a security of the responsible issuer, and

(b) which is created by a person or company on behalf of the responsible issuer or is guaranteed by the responsible issuer; ("valeur mobilière d'un émetteur")

"liability limit" means,

- (a) in the case of a responsible issuer, the greater of,
 - (i) 5 per cent of its market capitalization (as such term is defined in the regulations), and
 - (ii) \$1 million,

(b) in the case of a director or officer of a responsible issuer, the greater of,

- (i) \$25,000, and
- (ii)50 per cent of the aggregate of the director's or officer's compensation from the responsible issuer and its affiliates,

(c) in the case of an influential person who is not an individual, the greater of,

(i) 5 per cent of its market capitalization (as defined in the regulations), and

(ii) \$1 million,

- (d) in the case of an influential person who is an individual, the greater of,
 - (i) \$25,000, and
 - (ii)50 per cent of the aggregate of the influential person's compensation from the responsible issuer and its affiliates,

(e) in the case of a director or officer of an influential person, the greater of,

- (i) \$25,000, and
- (ii)50 per cent of the aggregate of the director's or officer's compensation from the influential person and its affiliates,
- (f) in the case of an expert, the greater of,
 - (i) \$1 million, and

(ii) the revenue that the expert and the affiliates of the expert have earned from the responsible issuer and its affiliates during the 12 months preceding the misrepresentation, and

(g) in the case of each person who made a public oral statement, other than an individual referred to in clause (d), (e) or (f), the greater of,

(i) \$25,000, and

(ii)50 per cent of the aggregate of the person's compensation from the responsible issuer and its affiliates; ("limite de responsabilité")

- "management's discussion and analysis" means the section of an annual information form, annual report or other document that contains management's discussion and analysis of the financial condition and financial performance of a responsible issuer as required under Ontario securities law; ("rapport de gestion")
- "public oral statement" means an oral statement made in circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that information contained in the statement will become generally disclosed; ("déclaration orale publique")
- "release" means, with respect to information or a document, to file with the Commission or any other securities regulatory authority in Canada or an exchange or to otherwise make available to the public; ("publication", "publier")

"responsible issuer" means,

- (a) a reporting issuer, or
- (b) any other issuer with a real and substantial connection to Ontario, any securities of which are publicly traded; ("émetteur responsable")

"trading day" means a day during which the principal market (as defined in the regulations) for the security is open for trading. ("jour de Bourse") 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 10; 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 14; 2007, c. 7, Sched. 38, s. 11; 2010, c. 1, Sched. 26, s. 6; 2010, c. 26, Sched. 18, s. 38.

Application

138.2 This Part does not apply to,

- (a) the purchase of a security offered by a prospectus during the period of distribution;
- (b) the acquisition of an issuer's security pursuant to a distribution that is exempt from section 53 or 62, except as may be prescribed by regulation;
- (c) the acquisition or disposition of an issuer's security in connection with or pursuant to a take-over bid or issuer bid, except as may be prescribed by regulation; or
- (d) such other transactions or class of transactions as may be prescribed by regulation. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 11.

LIABILITY

Liability for secondary market disclosure Documents released by responsible issuer

138.3 (1) Where a responsible issuer or a person or company with actual, implied or apparent authority to act on behalf of a

responsible issuer releases a document that contains a misrepresentation, a person or company who acquires or disposes of the issuer's security during the period between the time when the document was released and the time when the misrepresentation contained in the document was publicly corrected has, without regard to whether the person or company relied on the misrepresentation, a right of action for damages against,

- (a) the responsible issuer;
- (b) each director of the responsible issuer at the time the document was released;

(c) each officer of the responsible issuer who authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the release of the document;

- (d) each influential person, and each director and officer of an influential person, who knowingly influenced,
 - (i) the responsible issuer or any person or company acting on behalf of the responsible issuer to release the document, or

(ii) a director or officer of the responsible issuer to authorize, permit or acquiesce in the release of the document;

and

- (e) each expert where,
 - (i) the misrepresentation is also contained in a report, statement or opinion made by the expert,
 - (ii) the document includes, summarizes or quotes from the report, statement or opinion of the expert, and
 - (iii) if the document was released by a person or company other than the expert, the expert consented in writing to the use of the report, statement or opinion in the document. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 12 (1, 2).

Public oral statements by responsible issuer

(2) Where a person with actual, implied or apparent authority to speak on behalf of a responsible issuer makes a public oral statement that relates to the business or affairs of the responsible issuer and that contains a misrepresentation, a person or company who acquires or disposes of the issuer's security during the period between the time when the public oral statement was made and the time when the misrepresentation contained in the public oral statement was publicly corrected has, without regard to whether the person or company relied on the misrepresentation, a right of action for damages against,

- (a) the responsible issuer;
- (b) the person who made the public oral statement;
- (c) each director and officer of the responsible issuer who authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the making of the public oral statement;
- (d) each influential person, and each director and officer of the influential person, who knowingly influenced,
 - (i) the person who made the public oral statement to make the public oral statement, or
 - (ii)a director or officer of the responsible issuer to authorize, permit or acquiesce in the making of the public oral statement; and
- (e) each expert where,
 - (i) the misrepresentation is also contained in a report, statement or opinion made by the expert,

(ii) the person making the public oral statement includes, summarizes or quotes from the report, statement or opinion of the expert, and

- (iv) if the public oral statement was made by a person other than the expert, the expert consented in writing to the use
 - of the report, statement or opinion in the public oral statement. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34,

s. 12 (3).

Influential persons

(3) Where an influential person or a person or company with actual, implied or apparent authority to act or speak on behalf of the influential person releases a document or makes a public oral statement that relates to a responsible issuer and that contains a misrepresentation, a person or company who acquires or disposes of the issuer's security during the period between the time when the document was released or the public oral statement was made and the time when the misrepresentation contained in the document or public oral statement was publicly corrected has, without regard to whether the person or company relied on the misrepresentation, a right of action for damages against,

- (a) the responsible issuer, if a director or officer of the responsible issuer, or where the responsible issuer is an investment fund, the investment fund manager, authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the release of the document or the making of the public oral statement;
- (b) the person who made the public oral statement;
- (c) each director and officer of the responsible issuer who authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the release of the document or the making of the public oral statement;
- (d) the influential person;
- (e) each director and officer of the influential person who authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the release of the document or the making of the public oral statement; and
- (f) each expert where,
 - (i) the misrepresentation is also contained in a report, statement or opinion made by the expert,
 - (ii) the document or public oral statement includes, summarizes or quotes from the report, statement or opinion of the expert, and
 - (v) if the document was released or the public oral statement was made by a person other than the expert, the expert consented in writing to the use of the report, statement or opinion in the document or public oral statement. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 12 (4).

Failure to make timely disclosure

(4) Where a responsible issuer fails to make a timely disclosure, a person or company who acquires or disposes of the issuer's security between the time when the material change was required to be disclosed in the manner required under this Act or the regulations and the subsequent disclosure of the material change has, without regard to whether the person or company relied on the responsible issuer having complied with its disclosure requirements, a right of action for damages against,

(a) the responsible issuer;

- (b) each director and officer of the responsible issuer who authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the failure to make timely disclosure; and
- (c) each influential person, and each director and officer of an influential person, who knowingly influenced,
 - (i) the responsible issuer or any person or company acting on behalf of the responsible issuer in the failure to make timely disclosure, or
 - (ii) a director or officer of the responsible issuer to authorize, permit or acquiesce in the failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 12 (5); 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 15.

Multiple roles

(5) In an action under this section, a person who is a director or officer of an influential person is not liable in that capacity if the person is liable as a director or officer of the responsible issuer. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 12 (6).

Multiple misrepresentations

(6) In an action under this section,

- (a) multiple misrepresentations having common subject matter or content may, in the discretion of the court, be treated as a single misrepresentation; and
- (b) multiple instances of failure to make timely disclosure of a material change or material changes concerning common subject matter may, in the discretion of the court, be treated as a single failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 12 (7).

No implied or actual authority

(7) In an action under subsection (2) or (3), if the person who made the public oral statement had apparent authority, but not implied or actual authority, to speak on behalf of the issuer, no other person is liable with respect to any of the responsible issuer's securities that were acquired or disposed of before that other person became, or should reasonably have become, aware of the misrepresentation. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 12 (8).

Burden of proof and defences

Non-core documents and public oral statements

138.4 (1) In an action under section 138.3 in relation to a misrepresentation in a document that is not a core document, or a misrepresentation in a public oral statement, a person or company is not liable, subject to subsection (2), unless the plaintiff proves that the person or company,

- (a) knew, at the time that the document was released or public oral statement was made, that the document or public oral statement contained the misrepresentation;
- (b) at or before the time that the document was released or public oral statement was made, deliberately avoided acquiring knowledge that the document or public oral statement contained the misrepresentation; or

(c) was, through action or failure to act, guilty of gross misconduct in connection with the release of the document or the making of the public oral statement that contained the misrepresentation. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (1).

Same

(2) A plaintiff is not required to prove any of the matters set out in subsection (1) in an action under section 138.3 in relation to an expert. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (2).

Failure to make timely disclosure

(3) In an action under section 138.3 in relation to a failure to make timely disclosure, a person or company is not liable, subject to subsection (4), unless the plaintiff proves that the person or company,

- (a) knew, at the time that the failure to make timely disclosure first occurred, of the change and that the change was a material change;
- (b) at the time or before the failure to make timely disclosure first occurred, deliberately avoided acquiring knowledge of the change or that the change was a material change; or
- (c) was, through action or failure to act, guilty of gross misconduct in connection with the failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (3).

Same

(4) A plaintiff is not required to prove any of the matters set out in subsection (3) in an action under section 138.3 in relation to,

- (a) a responsible issuer;
- (b) an officer of a responsible issuer;
- (c) an investment fund manager; or
- (d) an officer of an investment fund manager. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (4).

Knowledge of the misrepresentation or material change

(5) A person or company is not liable in an action under section 138.3 in relation to a misrepresentation or a failure to make timely disclosure if that person or company proves that the plaintiff acquired or disposed of the issuer's security,

- (a) with knowledge that the document or public oral statement contained a misrepresentation; or
- (b) with knowledge of the material change. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (5).

Reasonable investigation

(6) A person or company is not liable in an action under section 138.3 in relation to,

- (a) a misrepresentation if that person or company proves that,
 - (i) before the release of the document or the making of the public oral statement containing the misrepresentation, the person or company conducted or caused to be conducted a reasonable investigation, and

- (ii)at the time of the release of the document or the making of the public oral statement, the person or company had no reasonable grounds to believe that the document or public oral statement contained the misrepresentation; or
- (b) a failure to make timely disclosure if that person or company proves that,
 - (i) before the failure to make timely disclosure first occurred, the person or company conducted or caused to be conducted a reasonable investigation, and
 - (ii)the person or company had no reasonable grounds to believe that the failure to make timely disclosure would occur. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (6).

Factors to be considered by court

(7) In determining whether an investigation was reasonable under subsection (6), or whether any person or company is guilty of gross misconduct under subsection (1) or (3), the court shall consider all relevant circumstances, including,

- (a) the nature of the responsible issuer;
- (b) the knowledge, experience and function of the person or company;
- (c) the office held, if the person was an officer;
- (d) the presence or absence of another relationship with the responsible issuer, if the person was a director;
- (e) the existence, if any, and the nature of any system designed to ensure that the responsible issuer meets its continuous disclosure obligations;
- (f) the reasonableness of reliance by the person or company on the responsible issuer's disclosure compliance system and on the responsible issuer's officers, employees and others whose duties would in the ordinary course have given them knowledge of the relevant facts;
- (g) the period within which disclosure was required to be made under the applicable law;
- (h) in respect of a report, statement or opinion of an expert, any professional standards applicable to the expert;
- (i) the extent to which the person or company knew, or should reasonably have known, the content and medium of dissemination of the document or public oral statement;
- (j) in the case of a misrepresentation, the role and responsibility of the person or company in the preparation and release of the document or the making of the public oral statement containing the misrepresentation or the ascertaining of the facts contained in that document or public oral statement; and
- (k) in the case of a failure to make timely disclosure, the role and responsibility of the person or company involved in a decision not to disclose the material change. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (7, 8).

Confidential disclosure

(8) A person or company is not liable in an action under section 138.3 in respect of a failure to make timely disclosure if,

- (a) the person or company proves that the material change was disclosed by the responsible issuer in a report filed on a confidential basis with the Commission under subsection 75 (3) or the regulations;
- (b) the responsible issuer had a reasonable basis for making the disclosure on a confidential basis;
- (c) where the information contained in the report filed on a confidential basis remains material, disclosure of the material change was made public promptly when the basis for confidentiality ceased to exist;
- (d) the person or company or responsible issuer did not release a document or make a public oral statement that, due to the undisclosed material change, contained a misrepresentation; and
- (e) where the material change became publicly known in a manner other than the manner required under this Act or the regulations, the responsible issuer promptly disclosed the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (9); 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 16 (1, 2).

Forward-looking information

(9) A person or company is not liable in an action under section 138.3 for a misrepresentation in forward-looking information if the person or company proves all of the following things:

1. The document or public oral statement containing the forward-looking information contained, proximate to that information,

- i. reasonable cautionary language identifying the forward-looking information as such, and identifying material factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from a conclusion, forecast or projection in the forward-looking information, and
- ii.a statement of the material factors or assumptions that were applied in drawing a conclusion or making a forecast or projection set out in the forward-looking information.
- 2. The person or company had a reasonable basis for drawing the conclusions or making the forecasts and projections set out in the forward-looking information. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (10).

Same

(9.1) The person or company shall be deemed to have satisfied the requirements of paragraph 1 of subsection (9) with respect to a public oral statement containing forward-looking information if the person who made the public oral statement,

- (a) made a cautionary statement that the oral statement contains forward-looking information;
- (b) stated that,
 - (i) the actual results could differ materially from a conclusion, forecast or projection in the forward-looking information, and

(ii)certain material factors or assumptions were applied in drawing a conclusion or making a forecast or projection as reflected in the forward-looking information; and

(c) stated that additional information about,

(i) the material factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the conclusion, forecast or projection in the forward-looking information, and

(ii)the material factors or assumptions that were applied in drawing a conclusion or making a forecast or projection as reflected in the forward-looking information,

is contained in a readily-available document or in a portion of such a document and has identified that document or that portion of the document. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (10).

Same

(9.2) For the purposes of clause (9.1) (c), a document filed with the Commission or otherwise generally disclosed shall be

deemed to be readily available. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (10).

Exception

(10) Subsection (9) does not relieve a person or company of liability respecting forward-looking information in a financial statement required to be filed under this Act or the regulations or forward-looking information in a document released in connection with an initial public offering. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (10); 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 16 (3).

Expert report, statement or opinion

(11) A person or company, other than an expert, is not liable in an action under section 138.3 with respect to any part of a document or public oral statement that includes, summarizes or quotes from a report, statement or opinion made by the expert in respect of which the responsible issuer obtained the written consent of the expert to the use of the report, statement or opinion, if the consent had not been withdrawn in writing before the document was released or the public oral statement was made, if the person or company proves that,

- (a) the person or company did not know and had no reasonable grounds to believe that there had been a misrepresentation in the part of the document or public oral statement made on the authority of the expert; and
- (b) the part of the document or oral public statement fairly represented the report, statement or opinion made by the expert. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (11).

Same

(12) An expert is not liable in an action under section 138.3 with respect to any part of a document or public oral statement that includes, summarizes or quotes from a report, statement or opinion made by the expert, if the expert proves that the written consent previously provided was withdrawn in writing before the document was released or the public oral statement was made. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (12).

Release of documents

(13) A person or company is not liable in an action under section 138.3 in respect of a misrepresentation in a document, other than a document required to be filed with the Commission, if the person or company proves that, at the time of release of the document, the person or company did not know and had no reasonable grounds to believe that the document would be released. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (13).

Derivative information

(14) A person or company is not liable in an action under section 138.3 for a misrepresentation in a document or a public oral statement, if the person or company proves that,

- (a) the misrepresentation was also contained in a document filed by or on behalf of another person or company, other than the responsible issuer, with the Commission or any other securities regulatory authority in Canada or an exchange and was not corrected in another document filed by or on behalf of that other person or company with the Commission or that other securities regulatory authority in Canada or exchange before the release of the document or the public oral statement made by or on behalf of the responsible issuer;
- (b) the document or public oral statement contained a reference identifying the document that was the source of the misrepresentation; and
- (c) when the document was released or the public oral statement was made, the person or company did not know and had no
 reasonable grounds to believe that the document or public oral statement contained a misrepresentation. 2002, c. 22,
 s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (14); 2010, c. 26, Sched. 18, s. 39.

Where corrective action taken

(15) A person or company, other than the responsible issuer, is not liable in an action under section 138.3 if the misrepresentation or failure to make timely disclosure was made without the knowledge or consent of the person or company and, if, after the person or company became aware of the misrepresentation before it was corrected, or the failure to make timely disclosure before it was disclosed in the manner required under this Act or the regulations,

- (a) the person or company promptly notified the board of directors of the responsible issuer or other persons acting in a similar capacity of the misrepresentation or the failure to make timely disclosure; and
- (b) if no correction of the misrepresentation or no subsequent disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations was made by the responsible issuer within two business days after the notification under clause (a), the person or company, unless prohibited by law or by professional confidentiality rules, promptly and in writing notified the Commission of the misrepresentation or failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 13 (15); 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 16 (4).

Section Amendments with date in force (d/m/y) [+]

DAMAGES

Assessment of damages

138.5 (1) Damages shall be assessed in favour of a person or company that acquired an issuer's securities after the release of a document or the making of a public oral statement containing a misrepresentation or after a failure to make timely disclosure as follows:

1. In respect of any of the securities of the responsible issuer that the person or company subsequently disposed of on or before the 10th trading day after the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, assessed damages shall equal the difference between the average price paid for those securities (including any commissions paid in respect thereof) and the price received upon the disposition of those securities (without deducting any commissions paid in respect of the disposition), calculated taking into account the result of hedging or other risk limitation transactions.

- In respect of any of the securities of the responsible issuer that the person or company subsequently disposed of after the 10th trading day after the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, assessed damages shall equal the lesser of,
 - i. an amount equal to the difference between the average price paid for those securities (including any commissions paid in respect thereof) and the price received upon the disposition of those securities (without deducting any commissions paid in respect of the disposition), calculated taking into account the result of hedging or other risk limitation transactions, and
 - ii.an amount equal to the number of securities that the person disposed of, multiplied by the difference between the average price per security paid for those securities (including any commissions paid in respect thereof determined on a per security basis) and,
 - A. if the issuer's securities trade on a published market, the trading price of the issuer's securities on the principal market (as those terms are defined in the regulations) for the 10 trading days following the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, or
 - B. if there is no published market, the amount that the court considers just.
- 3. In respect of any of the securities of the responsible issuer that the person or company has not disposed of, assessed damages shall equal the number of securities acquired, multiplied by the difference between the average price per security paid for those securities (including any commissions paid in respect thereof determined on a per security basis) and,
 - i. if the issuer's securities trade on a published market, the trading price of the issuer' securities on the principal market (as those terms are defined in the regulations) for the 10 trading days following the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, or
 - ii. if there is no published market, the amount that the court considers just. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 17; 2007, c. 7, Sched. 38, s. 12 (1-4).

Same

(2) Damages shall be assessed in favour of a person or company that disposed of securities after a document was released or a

public oral statement made containing a misrepresentation or after a failure to make timely disclosure as follows:

1. In respect of any of the securities of the responsible issuer that the person or company subsequently acquired on or before the 10th trading day after the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, assessed damages shall equal the difference between the average price received upon the disposition of those securities (deducting any commissions paid in respect of the disposition) and the price paid for those securities (without including any commissions paid in respect thereof), calculated taking into account the result of hedging or other risk limitation transactions. 2. In respect of any of the securities of the responsible issuer that the person or company subsequently acquired after the 10th trading day after the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, assessed damages shall equal the lesser of,

i. an amount equal to the difference between the average price received upon the disposition of those securities (deducting any commissions paid in respect of the disposition) and the price paid for those securities (without including any commissions paid in respect thereof), calculated taking into account the result of hedging or other risk limitation transactions, and

ii.an amount equal to the number of securities that the person disposed of, multiplied by the difference between the average price per security received upon the disposition of those securities (deducting any commissions paid in respect of the disposition determined on a per security basis) and,

A. if the issuer's securities trade on a published market, the trading price of the issuer's securities on the principal market (as those terms are defined in the regulations) for the 10 trading days following the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, or

B. if there is no published market, the amount that the court considers just.

3. In respect of any of the securities of the responsible issuer that the person or company has not acquired, assessed damages shall equal the number of securities that the person or company disposed of, multiplied by the difference between the average price per security received upon the disposition of those securities (deducting any commissions paid in respect of the disposition determined on a per security basis) and,

i. if the issuer's securities trade on a published market, the trading price of the issuer's securities on the principal market (as such terms are defined in the regulations) for the 10 trading days following the public correction of the misrepresentation or the disclosure of the material change in the manner required under this Act or the regulations, or

ii.if there is no published market, then the amount that the court considers just. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 14; 2006, c. 33, Sched. Z.5, s. 17; 2007, c. 7, Sched. 38, s. 12 (5-8).

Same

(3) Despite subsections (1) and (2), assessed damages shall not include any amount that the defendant proves is attributable to a change in the market price of securities that is unrelated to the misrepresentation or the failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185.

Proportionate liability

138.6 (1) In an action under section 138.3, the court shall determine, in respect of each defendant found liable in the action, the defendant's responsibility for the damages assessed in favour of all plaintiffs in the action, and each such defendant shall be liable, subject to the limits set out in subsection 138.7 (1), to the plaintiffs for only that portion of the aggregate amount of damages assessed in favour of the plaintiffs that corresponds to that defendant's responsibility for the damages. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 15 (1).

Same

(2) Despite subsection (1), where, in an action under section 138.3 in respect of a misrepresentation or a failure to make timely disclosure, a court determines that a particular defendant, other than the responsible issuer, authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the making of the misrepresentation or the failure to make timely disclosure while knowing it to be a misrepresentation or a failure to make timely disclosure, the whole amount of the damages assessed in the action may be recovered from that defendant. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 15 (2).

Same

(3) Each defendant in respect of whom the court has made a determination under subsection (2) is jointly and severally liable with each other defendant in respect of whom the court has made a determination under subsection (2). 2002, c. 22, s. 185.

Same

(4) Any defendant against whom recovery is obtained under subsection (2) is entitled to claim contribution from any other defendant who is found liable in the action. 2002, c. 22, s. 185.

Limits on damages

138.7 (1) Despite section 138.5, the damages payable by a person or company in an action under section 138.3 is the lesser of,

(a) the aggregate damages assessed against the person or company in the action; and

(b) the liability limit for the person or company less the aggregate of all damages assessed after appeals, if any, against the person or company in all other actions brought under section 138.3, and under comparable legislation in other provinces or territories in Canada in respect of that misrepresentation or failure to make timely disclosure, and less any amount paid in settlement of any such actions. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 16.

Same

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply to a person or company, other than the responsible issuer, if the plaintiff proves that the person or company authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the making of the misrepresentation or the failure to make timely disclosure while knowing that it was a misrepresentation or a failure to make timely disclosure, or influenced the making of the misrepresentation or the failure to make timely disclosure while knowing that it was a misrepresentation or a failure while knowing that it was a misrepresentation or the failure to make timely disclosure while knowing that it was a misrepresentation or a failure to make timely disclosure while knowing that it was a misrepresentation or a failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185.

Leave to proceed

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

138.8 (1) No action may be commenced under section 138.3 without leave of the court granted upon motion with notice to each defendant. The court shall grant leave only where it is satisfied that,(a) the action is being brought in good faith; and

(b) there is a reasonable possibility that the action will be resolved at trial in favour of the plaintiff. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 17.

Same

(2) Upon an application under this section, the plaintiff and each defendant shall serve and file one or more affidavits setting forth the material facts upon which each intends to rely. 2002, c. 22, s. 185.

Same

(3) The maker of such an affidavit may be examined on it in accordance with the rules of court. 2002, c. 22, s. 185.

Copies to be sent to the Commission

(4) A copy of the application for leave to proceed and any affidavits and factums filed with the court shall be sent to the Commission when filed. 2009, c. 34, Sched. S, s. 6 (1).

Requirement to provide notice

(5) The plaintiff shall provide the Commission with notice in writing of the date on which the application for leave is scheduled to proceed, at the same time such notice is given to each defendant. 2009, c. 34, Sched. S, s. 6 (2).

Same, appeal of leave decision

(6) If any party appeals the decision of the court with respect to whether leave to commence an action under section 138.3 is granted,

(a) each party to the appeal shall provide a copy of its factum to the Commission when it is filed; and

(b) the appellant shall provide the Commission with notice in writing of the date on which the appeal is scheduled to be heard, at the same time such notice is given to each respondent. 2009, c. 34, Sched. S, s. 6 (2); 2010, c. 1, Sched. 26, s. 7.

Notice

138.9 (1) A person or company that has been granted leave to commence an action under section 138.3 shall,

(a) promptly issue a news release disclosing that leave has been granted to commence an action under section 138.3;

(b) send a written notice to the Commission within seven days, together with a copy of the news release;

(c) send a copy of the statement of claim or other originating document to the Commission when filed; and

(d) provide the Commission with notice in writing of the date on which the trial of the action is scheduled to proceed, at the same time such notice is given to each defendant. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 18; 2009, c. 34, Sched. S, s. 7 (1).

Appeal

(2) If any party to an action under section 138.3 appeals the decision of the court,

(a) each party shall provide a copy of its factum to the Commission when it is filed; and

(b) the appellant shall provide the Commission with notice in writing of the date on which the appeal is scheduled to be heard, at the same time such notice is given to each respondent. 2009, c. 34, Sched. S, s. 7 (2).

Restriction on discontinuation, etc., of action

138.10 An action under section 138.3 shall not be discontinued, abandoned or settled without the approval of the court given on such terms as the court thinks fit including, without limitation, terms as to costs, and in determining whether to approve the settlement of the action, the court shall consider, among other things, whether there are any other actions outstanding under section 138.3 or under comparable legislation in other provinces or territories in Canada in respect of the same misrepresentation or failure to make timely disclosure. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 19.

Costs

138.11 Despite the *Courts of Justice Act* and the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*, the prevailing party in an action under section 138.3 is entitled to costs determined by a court in accordance with applicable rules of civil procedure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 20.

Power of the Commission

138.12 The Commission may intervene in an action under section 138.3, in an application for leave to commence the action under section 138.8 and in any appeal from the decision of the court in the action or with respect to whether leave is granted to commence the action. 2009, c. 34, Sched. S, s. 8.

No derogation from other rights

138.13 The right of action for damages and the defences to an action under section 138.3 are in addition to, and without derogation from, any other rights or defences the plaintiff or defendant may have in an action brought otherwise than under this Part. 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 22.

Limitation period

138.14 (1) No action shall be commenced under section 138.3,

(a) in the case of misrepresentation in a document, later than the earlier of,

(i) three years after the date on which the document containing the misrepresentation was first released, and

(ii) six months after the issuance of a news release disclosing that leave has been granted to commence an action under section 138.3 or under comparable legislation in the other provinces or territories in Canada in respect of the same misrepresentation;

(b) in the case of a misrepresentation in a public oral statement, later than the earlier of,

(i) three years after the date on which the public oral statement containing the misrepresentation was made, and

(ii) six months after the issuance of a news release disclosing that leave has been granted to commence an action under section 138.3 or under comparable legislation in another province or territory of Canada in respect of the same misrepresentation; and

(c) in the case of a failure to make timely disclosure, later than the earlier of,

(i) three years after the date on which the requisite disclosure was required to be made, and

(ii) six months after the issuance of a news release disclosing that leave has been granted to commence an action under section 138.3 or under comparable legislation in another province or territory of Canada in respect of the same failure to make timely disclosure. 2002, c. 22, s. 185; 2004, c. 31, Sched. 34, s. 23.

Suspension of limitation period

(2) A limitation period established by subsection (1) in respect of an action is suspended on the date a notice of motion for leave

under section 138.8 is filed with the court and resumes running on the date,

(a) the court grants leave or dismisses the motion and,

(i) all appeals have been exhausted, or

- (ii) the time for an appeal has expired without an appeal being filed; or
- (b) the motion is abandoned or discontinued. 2014, c. 7, Sched. 28, s. 15.

PART XXIV GENERAL PROVISIONS

Admissibility in evidence of certified statements

139 A statement as to,

(a) the registration or non-registration of any person or company;

(b) the filing or non-filing of any document or material required or permitted to be filed;

(c) any other matter pertaining to such registration, non-registration, filing or non-filing, or to any such person, company, document or material; or

(d) the date the facts upon which any proceedings are to be based first came to the knowledge of the Commission, purporting to be certified by the Commission or a member thereof or by the Director is, without proof of the office or signature of the person certifying, admissible in evidence, so far as relevant, for all purposes in any action, proceeding or prosecution. R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5, s. 139.

Non-compellability

139.1 No member, employee or agent of the Commission shall be required in any civil proceeding, except a proceeding under this Act or a judicial review relating to a proceeding under this Act, to give testimony or to produce any book, record, document or thing respecting information obtained in the discharge of their duties under this Act. 2017, c. 8, Sched. 28, s. 6.

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act

R.S.C., 1985, c. B-3

An Act respecting bankruptcy and insolvency

Short Title

Short title

1 This Act may be cited as the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act*.

R.S., 1985, c. B-3, s. 1 1992, c. 27, s. 2.

Interpretation

Definitions

2 In this Act,

affidavit includes statutory declaration and solemn affirmation; (affidavit)

aircraft objects [Repealed, 2012, c. 31, s. 414]

application, with respect to a bankruptcy application filed in a court in the Province of

standing are cured, and then only so long as no instalment of interest remains in arrears or defaults remain uncured for more than six months, but, in any event, not beyond the date at which the debt secured by the security becomes payable under the instrument or law creating the security.

Exception

(2.1) No order may be made under subsection (2) if the order would have the effect of preventing a secured creditor from realizing or otherwise dealing with financial collateral.

```
(3) [Repealed, 2012, c. 31, s. 418]
1992, c. 27, s. 36 2005, c. 3, s. 14, c. 47, s. 62 2007, c. 29, s. 96, c. 36, s. 36 2012, c. 31, s. 418.
```

Stay of proceedings — directors

69.31 (1) Where a notice of intention under subsection 50.4(1) has been filed or a proposal has been made by an insolvent corporation, no person may commence or continue any action against a director of the corporation on any claim against directors that arose before the commencement of proceedings under this Act and that relates to obligations of the corporation where directors are under any law liable in their capacity as directors for the payment of such obligations, until the proposal, if one has been filed, is approved by the court or the corporation becomes bankrupt.

Exception

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply in respect of an action against a director on a guarantee given by the director relating to the corporation's obligations or an action seeking injunctive relief against a director in relation to the corporation.

Resignation or removal of directors

(3) Where all of the directors have resigned or have been removed by the shareholders without replacement, any person who manages or supervises the management of the business and affairs of the corporation shall be deemed to be a director for the purposes of this section.

1997, c. 12, s. 65.

Court may declare that stays, etc., cease

69.4 A creditor who is affected by the operation of sections 69 to 69.31 or any other person affected by the operation of section 69.31 may apply to the court for a declaration that those sections no longer operate in respect of that creditor or person, and the court may make such a declaration, subject to any qualifications that the court considers proper,

if it is satisfied

(a) that the creditor or person is likely to be materially prejudiced by the continued operation of those sections; or

(b) that it is equitable on other grounds to make such a

declaration. 1992, c. 27, s. 36 1997, c. 12, s. 65.

Non-application of certain provisions

69.41 (1) Sections 69 to 69.31 do not apply in respect of a claim referred to in subsection 121(4).

No remedy, etc.

(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), no creditor with a claim referred to in subsection 121(4) has any remedy, or shall commence or continue any action, execution or other proceeding, against

(a) property of a bankrupt that has vested in the trustee; or

(b) amounts that are payable to the estate of the bankrupt under

section 68. 1997, c. 12, s. 65.

No stay, etc., in certain cases

69.42 Despite anything in this Act, no provision of this Act shall have the effect of staying or restraining, and no order may be made under this Act staying or restraining,

(a) the exercise by the Minister of Finance or the Superintendent of Financial Institutions of any power, duty or function assigned to them by the *Bank Act*, the *Cooperative Credit Associations Act*, the *Insurance Companies Act* or the *Trust and Loan Companies Act*;

(b) the exercise by the Governor in Council, the Minister of Finance or the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation of any power, duty or function assigned to them by the *Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation Act*; or

(c) the exercise by the Attorney General of Canada of any power, assigned to him or her by the *Winding-up and Restructuring Act*.

2001, c. 9, s. 574.

Provincial legislation

69.5 Except for paragraphs 69(1)(c) and (d) and 69.1(1)(c) and (d), sections 69 to 69.3 do not affect the operation of any provision of provincial legislation that has a similar

TRUIST BANK, AS AGENT

Applicant

and KEW MEDIA GROUP INC. and KEW MEDIA INTERNATIONAL (CANADA) INC. Respondents

Court File No.: CV-20-00637081-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST) Proceeding commenced at Toronto FACTUM (Lift Stay and Carriage) (Motion Returnable: July 14, 2020) Foreman & Company **Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP** 3200-100 Wellington St W 4 Covent Market Place Toronto, ON M5K 1K7 London, ON N6A 1E2 Paul D. Guy (LSO# 49794K) Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H) pguy@tgf.ca jforeman@foremancompany.com Tel: (416) 304-0538 Fax: (416) 304-1313 Sarah A. Bowden (LSO# 56835D) sbowden@foremancompany.com Kalloghlian Myers LLP 200-250 University Avenue Anne E. Legate-Wolfe (LSO# 76832J) Toronto, ON M5H 3E5 alegatewolfe@foremancompany.com Serge Kalloghlian (LSO# 55557F) Tel: (519) 914-1175 serge@kalloghlianmyers.com Fax: (226) 884-5340 Tel: (647) 812-5615 Garth Myers (LSO# 62307G) garth@kalloghlianmyers.com Tel: (647) 969-4472 Fax: (647) 243-6620 Lawyers for Alex Kan and Stuart Rath